

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/792,219	03/04/2004	Shinichi Yoshinari	Q80255	4195
23373	7590 05/03/2006		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			JOY, DAVID J	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037	1774		
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/792,219	YOSHINARI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David J. Joy	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 l	<u> March 2004</u> .				
,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
<ul> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	election requirement				
8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached t	Since Action of form F 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prices of the pri		eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	eceived.			
Gee the attached detailed office action for a no					
Add a characteristics					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-,	-			

Application/Control Number: 10/792,219

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a heat transfer sheet, classified in class 428, subclass32.81.
  - II. Claims 9-11, drawn to a coating composition, classified in class 528, subclass 246.
  - III. Claims 8 and 12, with the former drawn to a method for forming an image and the latter drawn to a method for forming an image on demand, classified in class 156, subclass 235.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other two, for the following reasons:

  Inventions I, II and III are separate and patentably distinct from each other, because

  Inventions I and II each describe a different material, while Invention III describes two

  different processes for forming an image by superposition.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and each has acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,219 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

4. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case it is feasible to use the heat transfer sheet as claimed in Invention I in a materially different process such as the formation of an image using X-Ray irradiation to produce an image that is readable from a photographic film.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product, and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.05(j)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a protective coating for a metal substrate, thus the inventions are deemed patentably distinct because there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,219 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 8. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case it is possible to use the coating composition, as claimed in Invention II, in a materially different process of using that product, such as by using the waterborne latex compound to adhere sheets of paper to form a paper laminate, compared to that which is taught in Invention III.
- 9. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Telephone calls were made to Mark Boland on April 19, 2006 and April 20, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but they did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,219 Page 5

Art Unit: 1774

11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 12. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 13. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Joy whose telephone number is (571) 272-9056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM EDT.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,219

Art Unit: 1774

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L. Dye can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Joy Examiner Art Unit 1774

04/20/2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U. 1774 5/1/04